### NEW DIABETES GUIDELINES RECOGNISE THE IMPORTANCE OF BOTH HbA AND TIME IN RANGE (TIR) FOR SUSTAINED GLYCAEMIC CONTROL<sup>3,4</sup>

HbA<sub>16</sub> is the key marker of glycaemic control used to assess the long-term risk of late complications in patients with type 1 diabetes. However, it does not provide a measure of glycaemic variability or hypoglycaemia. TIR is used as a metric of glycaemic control to help manage glucose fluctuations on a day-to-day basis in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes.<sup>3,4</sup>

Recently-published ADA and ATTD consensus guidelines recommend using both HbA, and TIR as an integral part of CGM data analysis and treatment decision-making.<sup>3,4</sup>

HbA<sub>1</sub>, GOAL<sup>3</sup>

### A DEXCOM CGM FIRST APPROACH CAN DELIVER CLINICALLY MEANINGFUL BENEFITS FOR PATIENTS<sup>1,5-8</sup>

Based on five prospective studies:

## **GOLD – DIAMOND – COMISAIR – I HART CGM – HYPO DE**



Reduction in HbA. Increased time in range<sup>1,5,6</sup>



#### **DEXCOM CGM FIRST IN YOUR PATIENT PATHWAY**



Dexcom CGM can help patients meet their HbA<sub>1c</sub> and TIR targets, and achieve sustained glycaemic control.<sup>1,5,6</sup>

References 1. Šoupal J, et al. COMISAIR-2. Diabetes Care. 2019;doi: 10.2337/dc19-0888. [Epub ahead of print]. 2. Šoupal J, et al. COMISAIR, Diabetes Technol Ther. 2016;18(9)532-538. 3. American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care. 2019;42(Suppl 1):S61-S70. 4. Battelino T, et al. Diabetes Care. 2019;42(8):1593-1603. 5. Lind M, et al. JAMA. 2017;317(4):379-387. 6. Beck RW, et al. JAMA. 2017;317(4):371-378. 7. Heinemann L, et al. Lancet. 2018:391(10128):1367-1377. 8. Reddy M. et al. Diabet Med. 2018:35(4):483-490. 9. Polonsky WH. et al. Diabetes Care, 2017;40(6):736-741, 10. Ólafsdóttir AF. et al. Diabetes Technol Ther, 2018:20(4):274-284.

Dexcom, Dexcom Follow and Dexcom G6 are registered trademarks of Dexcom, Inc. in the U.S., and may be registered in other countries. © 2019 Dexcom Inc. All rights reserved. LBL018162 Rev001



Reduction in risk of hypoglycaemia<sup>7,8</sup>

Improvements in quality ife and well-being 9,10

# **ACHIEVING SUSTAINED GLYCAEMIC CONTROL WITH DEXCOM CGM**

3-year data from the COMISAIR study and the implications for type 1 diabetes management<sup>1</sup>



#### COMISAIR IS THE FIRST LONG-TERM, PROSPECTIVE, REAL-WORLD STUDY TO COMPARE DIFFERENT TREATMENT MODALITIES FOR TYPE 1 DIABETES<sup>1</sup>

The COMISAIR study assessed the efficacy of the following treatment modalities in adult patients with type 1 diabetes for one year:<sup>2</sup>

- Continuous Glucose Monitoring (CGM)\* + Multiple Daily Insulin Injections (MDI)
- Sensor Augmented Pump (SAP): CGM<sup>†</sup> + Continuous Subcutaneous Insulin Infusion (CSII)
- Self Monitoring of Blood Glucose (SMBG) + MDI
- SMBG + CSII

#### At 12 months:

Both CGM-using groups saw significant and comparable reductions in HbA, and risk of hypoglycaemia vs SMBG groups?





\*Study participants used a Dexcom G4 CGM system tSAPs were used with Dexcom G4 sensors or Medtronic Enlite sensors.

Months

#### AT 3-YEAR FOLLOW-UP, CGM USE RESULTED IN SUSTAINED HbA<sub>1c</sub> **REDUCTION – REGARDLESS OF INSULIN DELIVERY METHOD<sup>1</sup>**

The 3-year follow-up to the COMISAIR study continued to evaluate the clinical impact of different regimens in an expanded study population  $(N=94)^{1}$ 



#### CGM USE HELPS PATIENTS WITH TYPE 1 DIABETES TO SPEND MORE TIME IN RANGE AND AVOID HYPOGLYCAEMIA<sup>1</sup>

At 3 years, CGM users in the COMISAIR study had spent more time in range, and less time in hypoglycaemia, compared with self-monitoring patients.<sup>1</sup>





For reference, 1% of 24 hours =  $\sim$  14 minutes, 4% =  $\sim$  58 minutes, 20% =  $\sim$  4 hours 48 minutes.